



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------	------------------

10/766,614

01/28/2004

Lawrence A. Shimp

525400-332

3427

25763

7590

07/01/2008

DORSEY & WHITNEY LLP
INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY DEPARTMENT
SUITE 1500
50 SOUTH SIXTH STREET
MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55402-1498

EXAMINER

MCKANE, ELIZABETH L

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

1797

MAIL DATE

DELIVERY MODE

07/01/2008

PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary	Application No. 10/766,614	Applicant(s) SHIMP ET AL.	
	Examiner ELIZABETH L. MCKANE	Art Unit 1797	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 10 June 2008.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1,2,6,9-11 and 13-23 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1,2,6,9-11 and 13-23 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- | | |
|--|---|
| 1) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413) |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ |
| 3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application |
| Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____ | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____ |

1. The indicated allowability of claim 4 is withdrawn in view of a new interpretation of Wolfinbarger, Jr. et al. (US 5,977,432). A rejection of claim 4 as incorporated into amended claim 1 follows.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

3. Claims 1, 2, 6, 9-11, and 13-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Wolfinbarger, Jr. et al. (US 5,977,432) in view of Wolfinbarger, Jr. (US 5,976,104).

With respect to claims 1, 2, 10, 11, and 13-18, Wolfinbarger, Jr. et al. teaches a process for inactivating and reducing pathogens from a tissue (cancellous bone) having a longitudinal axis and a plurality of cavities. The longitudinal axis of graft **13** is the axis *of the graft* which has a length dimension that is greater than its other dimensions. The process includes centrifuging the tissue in a centrifuge with a pathogen solvent. See col.4, lines 21-34. The centrifuging will produce a G force on the graft in a direction parallel to the longitudinal axis of the graft **13**. After treatment with the solvent, the bone is dry spun (col.13, lines 46-48; col.15, lines 57-59). The solvent is hydrogen peroxide, an oxidant. See col.12, lines 20-25. In further steps, the bone is contacted with an antibiotic (col.11, lines 52-53). Moreover, Wolfinbarger, Jr. et al. discloses that prior to

and/or after the first centrifuging, the bone grafts may be incubated in a cleaning solution and centrifuged. See col.7, lines 52-56. Again, the cleaning solution is preferably hydrogen peroxide (col.8, lines 44-45). Since the instant specification indicates that the “chemically reactive substance ...for breaking down lipids and/or proteins” is an oxo anion, such as a peroxide, the incubation in hydrogen peroxide and subsequent centrifuging disclosed by Wolfinbarger, Jr. et al. meets the limitation wherein a chemically reactive substance for breaking down lipids and/or proteins is infused into the tissue. Wolfinbarger, Jr. et al. is silent with respect to continuously flowing the solvent solution to and away from the centrifuge during the centrifuging.

Wolfinbarger, Jr. ('104) teaches in another method of bone treatment wherein the solvent solution is flowed continuously to and way the treatment chamber, permitting complete removal of the bone marrow and continuous monitoring of bone marrow removal from the graft. See col.7, lines 20-31

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to provide a means to continuously introduce to and remove solvent from the centrifuge of Wolfinbarger, Jr. et al., in order to continually monitor removal of bone marrow from the graft of Wolfinbarger, Jr. et al. and effectively remove bone marrow from the graft. In fact, Wolfinbarger, Jr. et al. teaches that the purpose of centrifuging the bone graft is to remove the bone marrow from the graft (col.3, lines 5-8) and that complete removal of the bone marrow from the graft can be monitored “continually during the process” by measuring the absorbance of the solution. See col.10, line 66 to col.11, line 10.

As to claim 6, it is deemed obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to choose an appropriate volume of solvent to employ based upon known parameters such as tissue size, centrifuge chamber size, and the amount of pathogen material present.

With respect to claim 9, Wolfinbarger, Jr. et al. discloses at 2500 rpm the G force is 1657. See col.12, lines 23-24. Using the equation used by Wolfinbarger, Jr. et al. to convert centrifuge rpm to G force (col.6, line 12) and the disclosed rpm range of Wolfinbarger, Jr. et al. yields a G force range of 247.5 to 6188 for centrifuge rotational speeds of 1000-5000 rpm.

4. Claims 19, 22, and 23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Wolfinbarger, Jr. et al. and Wolfinbarger, Jr. as applied to claim 17 above, and further in view of Morris et al. (WO 01/58497).

As to claim 19, Wolfinbarger, Jr. et al. teaches infusing the bone with a pathogen reducing solution (hydrogen peroxide) during the step of centrifuging. In further steps, the bone is contacted with an antibiotic (col.11, lines 52-53). However, the infusion of a growth factor is not disclosed. Morris et al. discloses that it was known in the art to sterilize and impregnate with growth factor bone intended for transplantation. See page 1, first paragraph. As Wolfinbarger, Jr. et al. already discloses that the act of centrifuging the bone with the hydrogen peroxide causes permeation of the hydrogen peroxide through the bone, it would have been obvious to use the method of Wolfinbarger, Jr. et al. to impregnate the bone with other treatment components such as antibiotics and growth factor since Morris et al. teaches that doing so prepares the bone for a successful transplantation.

With respect to claims 22 and 23, Wolfinbarger, Jr. et al. is silent with respect to infusing the bone with a polymer. However, Morris et al. teaches the known infusion of bone with medically useful polymers, such as polymer cell scaffolds, polymeric carriers containing drugs, and bioerodable polymers. See page 9, lines 20-22 and page 10, lines 9-10. As these types of polymers are capable of promoting tissue growth and/or dispensing drugs *in vivo*, it would have been obvious to use the method of Wolfinbarger, Jr. et al. to infuse the bone with these polymers.

5. Claims 20 and 21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Wolfinbarger, Jr. et al. (hereinafter 'Wolfinbarger '432') and Wolfinbarger, Jr. as applied to claim 17 above, and further in view of Wolfinbarger, Jr. et al. (US 6,293,970, hereinafter 'Wolfinbarger '970').

Wolfinbarger '432 fails to teach infusing the bone with a plasticizer. Wolfinbarger '970 discloses a process of sterilizing a bone graft followed by infusion with a plasticizer, such as glycerol. See col.7, line 42. The plasticizer is effective in improving graft brittleness and removes the necessity of graft rehydration prior to implantation. For these reasons, it would have been obvious to use the method of Wolfinbarger '432 to infuse the bone graft with a plasticizer.

Conclusion

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to ELIZABETH L. MCKANE whose telephone number is

Art Unit: 1797

(571)272-1275. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri; 5:30 a.m. - 2:00 p.m..

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Gladys Corcoran can be reached on 571-272-1214. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Elizabeth L McKane/
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1797

elm
25 June 2008